

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID JACOB LAMAR,

Plaintiff,

v.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, ACTING  
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-00260-AWI-HBK

ORDER GRANTING AWARD AND  
PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS FEES UNDER  
THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT

(Doc. No. 19)

Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulated motion for attorney fees filed on July 5, 2022. (Doc. No. 22). The parties agree to an award of attorney's fees and expenses to Plaintiff's attorney, Jonathan O. Peña, in the amount of ONE THOUSAND ONE-HUNDRED THIRTY-ONE DOLLARS AND 20/100 (\$1,131.20) pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412. (*Id.*).

On April 5, 2022, this Court granted the parties' Stipulated Motion for Voluntary Remand and remanded the case pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. (Doc. No. 17). Judgment was entered the same day. (Doc. No. 18). Plaintiff now requests an award of fees as the prevailing party. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2412(a) & (d)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 1920; *cf. Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 300-02 (1993) (concluding that a party who wins a sentence-four remand order under 42

1 U.S.C. § 405(g) is a prevailing party). The Commissioner does not oppose the requested relief.  
2 (Doc. No. 19 at 1).

3 The EAJA provides for an award of attorney fees to private litigants who both prevail in  
4 civil actions (other than tort) against the United States and timely file a petition for fees. 28  
5 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Under the Act, a court shall award attorney fees to the prevailing party  
6 unless it finds the government's position was "substantially justified or that special circumstances  
7 make such an award unjust." *Id.* Here, the government did not show its position was  
8 substantially justified and the Court finds there are not special circumstances that would make an  
9 award unjust.

10 The Court finds an award of \$1,131.20 is appropriate. EAJA fees, expenses, and costs are  
11 subject to any offsets allowed under the Treasury Offset Program ("TOP"), as discussed in *Astrue*  
12 *v. Ratliff*, 532 U.S. 1192 (2010). If the Commissioner determines upon effectuation of this Order  
13 that Plaintiff's EAJA fees are not subject to any offset allowed under the TOP, the fees shall be  
14 delivered or otherwise transmitted to Plaintiff's counsel.

15 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

16 1. The parties' stipulated motion for attorney fees and expenses (Doc. No. 19) is  
17 **GRANTED**.

18 2. The Commissioner is directed to pay to Plaintiff as the prevailing party EAJA fees in  
19 the amount of ONE THOUSAND ONE-HUNDRED THIRTY-ONE DOLLARS AND 20/100  
20 (\$1,131.20) in attorney fees and expenses. Unless the Department of Treasury determines that  
21 Plaintiff owes a federal debt, the government shall make payment of the fees to Plaintiff's  
22 counsel, Jonathan O. Peña, in accordance with Plaintiff's assignment of fees and subject to the  
23 terms of the stipulated motion.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: July 19, 2022

26   
27 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE  
28